European Journal of Emerging Sciences

OPEN ACCESS

Culturally Sustaining Pedagogy and Language Learning

Dr. Umesh Chauhan
Assistant Professor
IIT Bhubaneswar

A B S T R A C T

Culturally sustaining pedagogy (CSP) has emerged as a dynamic educational framework aimed at preserving, valuing, and promoting students' linguistic and cultural identities while advancing their academic growth. Within the context of language learning, CSP challenges deficit models that view linguistic diversity as a barrier and instead positions it as a resource for learning. The approach builds on sociocultural theories of education, which emphasize the role of culture and community in cognitive development. Through CSP, educators are encouraged to maintain and extend students' cultural and linguistic practices rather than replace them with dominant or standardized forms. This shift has profound implications for how teachers design curriculum, interact with learners, and assess language proficiency. The integration of culturally sustaining pedagogy in language classrooms also addresses issues of social justice by acknowledging the historical and political forces that have marginalized minority languages and cultures. By incorporating multilingual practices, community narratives, and authentic cultural expressions, CSP helps learners develop linguistic competence alongside cultural consciousness. As global migration and technological interconnectedness continue to reshape societies, culturally sustaining pedagogy provides a necessary educational model that aligns with principles of inclusivity, pluralism, and equity. This paper explores the theoretical foundations, pedagogical strategies, and empirical insights that define CSP within language learning, emphasizing its transformative potential to reframe classroom discourse, teacher identity, and learner agency in multilingual education systems.

Keywords - Culturally sustaining pedagogy, language learning, multilingual education, linguistic diversity, social justice, inclusive education, cultural identity

Introduction

In recent decades, the increasing cultural and linguistic diversity of classrooms around the world has compelled educators to rethink the traditional approaches to language teaching and learning. Language learning has long been associated with assimilationist ideologies, where mastery of a dominant language was seen as the key to academic success and social integration. However, such paradigms often failed to recognize the richness of students' home languages and cultural practices. Culturally sustaining pedagogy, first articulated by Django Paris and later expanded by scholars such as H. Samy Alim, provides an alternative framework that goes beyond mere cultural responsiveness to actively sustain the cultural and linguistic pluralism of communities. In a culturally sustaining classroom, language learning is not only about acquiring grammatical accuracy or communicative competence but also about affirming one's identity and heritage.

180

The pedagogy foregrounds the belief that education should be a space where multiple languages and cultural expressions coexist and thrive. This orientation aligns closely with global discourses on linguistic human rights and UNESCO's advocacy for mother-tongue-based multilingual education. The growing body of research demonstrates that students learn more effectively when instruction connects with their lived experiences and sociocultural contexts. Consequently, CSP has become a cornerstone of equitable education reform, particularly in contexts where language serves as both a means of communication and a marker of identity. The purpose of this paper is to critically analyze how culturally sustaining pedagogy enhances language learning outcomes, strengthens cultural identity, and contributes to the democratization of education systems in diverse societies.

Literature Review

The evolution of culturally sustaining pedagogy can be traced to earlier pedagogical frameworks such as culturally responsive teaching (Gay, 2000), culturally relevant pedagogy (Ladson-Billings, 1995), and critical pedagogy (Freire, 1970). While each contributed to understanding the relationship between culture and education, CSP expands these approaches by emphasizing not only recognition but the active maintenance of cultural practices. Paris (2012) proposed CSP as a response to the cultural erasure often found in schooling, where linguistic minority students are encouraged to abandon their home languages in favor of the dominant one. In the field of language education, scholars such as García and Wei (2014) introduced the concept of translanguaging, which aligns closely with CSP principles by promoting the fluid use of multiple linguistic resources. Empirical studies in bilingual and ESL classrooms have shown that integrating students' native languages and cultural narratives fosters deeper engagement, better comprehension, and stronger academic identity. Moreover, CSP intersects with critical race theory and postcolonial perspectives, highlighting the power dynamics embedded in language policies and classroom practices. Teachers adopting CSP are not merely transmitting knowledge but facilitating spaces where students' cultural experiences become legitimate sources of learning. Research from contexts such as the United States, Canada, and India indicates that culturally sustaining practices enhance learner motivation, participation, and self-efficacy. At the same time, scholars caution that implementing CSP requires structural support, teacher training, and curriculum flexibility. Without institutional backing, teachers may find it challenging to reconcile standardized testing regimes with culturally sustaining goals. The literature thus underscores the importance of viewing CSP not as an isolated classroom strategy but as a systemic orientation toward equity and inclusion in education. The concept of culturally sustaining pedagogy has its roots in a long intellectual tradition of educational theories that explore the relationship between culture, identity, and learning. The earliest influences can be traced to Paulo Freire's critical pedagogy, which emphasized that education is never neutral but either serves to domesticate or to liberate learners. Freire's ideas laid the foundation for later scholars such as Geneva Gay and Gloria Ladson-Billings, who articulated culturally responsive and culturally relevant pedagogies. Gay's work in the early 2000s argued that effective teaching for diverse learners requires the intentional integration of cultural knowledge, experiences, and perspectives into curriculum and instruction. Ladson-Billings advanced this notion by proposing that pedagogy should not only be responsive to students' cultures but should also affirm and empower them to succeed academically while maintaining their cultural integrity. These early frameworks shifted educational discourse away from deficit thinking, which viewed cultural difference as a problem, toward an asset-based understanding of diversity.

Building on these foundations, Django Paris introduced the term "culturally sustaining pedagogy" in 2012 to capture an evolved stance that seeks not only to recognize but to sustain

the linguistic and cultural practices of communities of color. According to Paris, earlier models, while transformative, often aimed for students to acquire mainstream cultural capital without ensuring the continuation of their own cultural repertoires. Culturally sustaining pedagogy therefore extends the principles of culturally relevant teaching by positioning the preservation of linguistic and cultural diversity as a primary goal of education. It encourages educators to create classrooms where students' languages, literacies, and cultural ways of knowing are continuously developed rather than replaced. Paris and Alim (2017) later expanded this framework by integrating insights from hip-hop pedagogy, youth culture, and critical race theory, arguing that culturally sustaining pedagogy is essential for achieving both educational equity and cultural justice.

Scholars in the field of applied linguistics have provided further depth to the understanding of culturally sustaining pedagogy by examining the role of language in identity formation and social power. García and Wei's theory of translanguaging (2014) introduced a paradigm shift by viewing bilingualism not as the mastery of two separate linguistic systems but as the dynamic use of multiple linguistic resources. This theory aligns with the principles of culturally sustaining pedagogy because it legitimizes the natural fluidity of bilingual and multilingual communication, allowing students to draw upon their entire linguistic repertoire in the learning process. Similarly, Canagarajah's work on translingual practice emphasizes that language learning and use are embedded in social contexts shaped by power relations, and that pedagogies must be inclusive of linguistic hybridity. These perspectives challenge the monolingual ideologies that dominate traditional language education, revealing that students' multilingual practices are not signs of confusion or deficiency but rather evidence of linguistic sophistication and adaptability.

The literature also highlights the relationship between culturally sustaining pedagogy and sociocultural theories of learning. Vygotsky's notion of the Zone of Proximal Development suggests that learning is inherently social and mediated by language, culture, and interaction. From this perspective, cultural tools, including language, shape the way individuals think and learn. Culturally sustaining pedagogy draws from this insight by recognizing that learning cannot be separated from the sociocultural environments in which it occurs. Moll and colleagues' concept of "funds of knowledge" further supports this idea, proposing that the everyday cultural and intellectual resources found in students' households and communities are valuable assets for classroom learning. Incorporating such funds of knowledge into language instruction allows educators to bridge formal academic content with students' lived experiences, thereby making learning more relevant and engaging.

Empirical studies from various contexts further validate the benefits of culturally sustaining pedagogy. In bilingual and multilingual classrooms across North America, Europe, and Asia, researchers have observed that when teachers implement culturally sustaining practices—such as allowing students to use their home languages, integrating community narratives, and acknowledging diverse worldviews—students demonstrate improved academic performance, stronger cultural identity, and higher motivation. For example, studies in indigenous language education have shown that culturally sustaining approaches are crucial for language revitalization efforts, as they restore pride and intergenerational continuity in communities whose languages have been historically marginalized. Research in English-as-a-Second-Language settings has similarly found that learners' proficiency and confidence grow when classroom discourse validates their cultural backgrounds and linguistic histories. These findings consistently emphasize that culturally sustaining pedagogy fosters a sense of belonging and inclusion that is foundational to effective learning.

At the same time, the literature acknowledges the challenges of implementing culturally sustaining pedagogy within institutional systems dominated by standardized curricula and testing regimes. Scholars such as Flores and Rosa (2015) have critiqued what they call "raciolinguistic ideologies," which position students from marginalized linguistic communities as perpetually deficient regardless of their actual linguistic competence. These ideologies are reinforced by assessment systems that privilege standard varieties of dominant languages and penalize deviation. As a result, teachers often face structural barriers when attempting to adopt culturally sustaining practices. Without institutional support, CSP can risk being reduced to superficial celebrations of diversity rather than a sustained commitment to linguistic and cultural justice. Critical scholars therefore call for systemic reform that includes teacher education, curriculum redesign, and policy advocacy to ensure the authentic implementation of CSP across educational levels.

Research Objectives

The primary objective of this study is to examine the role of culturally sustaining pedagogy in enhancing language learning and identity affirmation among linguistically diverse students. It aims to explore how teachers conceptualize and operationalize CSP in various educational settings, particularly in multilingual contexts. Specific objectives include understanding the relationship between CSP and students' linguistic proficiency, investigating the impact of culturally relevant materials on learner engagement, and analyzing the ways in which CSP supports social and emotional development in language learners. Another important objective is to evaluate how policy frameworks and institutional practices facilitate or hinder the adoption of CSP principles. The research also seeks to bridge theoretical perspectives with practical classroom applications, offering educators concrete strategies for integrating cultural sustainability into curriculum design, assessment, and pedagogy. By doing so, the paper aspires to contribute to ongoing dialogues about educational justice and linguistic diversity in global language education systems. Furthermore, it aims to highlight the transformative potential of CSP in redefining teacher roles from transmitters of standardized knowledge to facilitators of cultural exchange and critical inquiry. The ultimate goal is to demonstrate that culturally sustaining pedagogy is not an optional supplement but a necessary foundation for equitable and meaningful language learning in today's interconnected world. The central objective of this study is to critically investigate how culturally sustaining pedagogy functions as an empowering framework for language learning in culturally and linguistically diverse classrooms. It aims to explore the multifaceted relationship between language, culture, and identity through the lens of pedagogy that seeks to sustain rather than erase difference. In doing so, this research addresses how the integration of culturally sustaining pedagogy (CSP) reshapes teaching practices, curriculum design, and learner outcomes within the broader context of educational equity. A key objective is to analyze how CSP principles can enhance language acquisition while simultaneously fostering cultural affirmation and social inclusion. Traditional models of language education have long been guided by assimilationist ideologies, where linguistic proficiency in the dominant language was viewed as a prerequisite for academic and social success. This study challenges that paradigm by demonstrating that cultural continuity and linguistic diversity are not hindrances but powerful assets in language learning. The objective is therefore to understand how teachers and institutions can move beyond surface-level multiculturalism to embed cultural and linguistic pluralism at the heart of educational processes.

Another core aim is to examine how culturally sustaining pedagogy influences learners' motivation, engagement, and identity formation. By situating learning within students' cultural realities, CSP transforms the classroom into a space of belonging and recognition. The study

seeks to understand the psychological and emotional dimensions of language learning in culturally sustaining environments, where learners see their cultural and linguistic practices validated rather than marginalized. In this regard, the research explores how students' sense of agency and self-efficacy evolves when their home languages, dialects, and cultural expressions are actively included in the learning process. A related objective is to assess how CSP impacts the dynamics of classroom interaction, particularly how teachers facilitate dialogue, collaboration, and critical thinking through culturally grounded pedagogical strategies. The study aims to capture the ways in which culturally sustaining approaches help dismantle the hierarchies of language prestige that often position dominant languages as superior and others as inferior.

The study also seeks to identify the pedagogical practices that embody the principles of CSP in real educational contexts. These may include the use of multilingual texts, community-based projects, and narrative learning that integrates local histories and traditions into language instruction. By examining these practices, the research hopes to generate concrete insights into how teachers operationalize cultural sustainability in classrooms that serve linguistically heterogeneous populations. It further aims to reveal the institutional and structural factors that facilitate or hinder the implementation of CSP, such as curriculum frameworks, policy mandates, and assessment standards. The objective is to move beyond theory and uncover the practical realities faced by educators attempting to sustain students' cultural and linguistic resources within restrictive educational systems.

Research Methodology

This research is grounded in a qualitative interpretivist paradigm that values participants' experiences and social contexts as central to understanding pedagogical phenomena. The study employs a multi-method approach combining ethnographic observation, semi-structured interviews, and document analysis to capture a holistic view of how culturally sustaining pedagogy manifests in language classrooms. Fieldwork was conducted across diverse educational settings including urban bilingual schools, rural multilingual programs, and higher education institutions where language learning is a key component of the curriculum. Teachers, students, and administrators were selected through purposive sampling to ensure representation of multiple perspectives. Data collection involved classroom observations focusing on instructional strategies, language use, and student interactions. Interviews explored participants' beliefs about language, identity, and culture, while policy documents and curricular materials were analyzed to contextualize institutional support for CSP. The data were coded thematically using grounded theory techniques to identify recurring patterns related to cultural validation, linguistic integration, and pedagogical adaptation. Reflexivity was maintained throughout the process to minimize researcher bias, and triangulation ensured reliability of interpretations. Ethical considerations were strictly observed, including informed consent, anonymity, and respect for participants' cultural expressions. The methodology thus aligns with the philosophical underpinnings of culturally sustaining pedagogy itself, privileging voice, context, and community over universalizing metrics. By situating learning within authentic cultural frameworks, the research aims to generate insights that are both theoretically significant and practically actionable for educators seeking to implement culturally sustaining practices in language education.

Data Analysis and Interpretation

The analysis of the collected data reveals how culturally sustaining pedagogy operates within the dynamic ecosystem of language learning. Classroom observations demonstrated that when teachers incorporated students' home languages and cultural narratives, participation rates increased significantly. Learners showed heightened motivation and engagement when they saw their linguistic and cultural identities reflected in instructional materials. Teachers who utilized translanguaging strategies—where learners move fluidly between languages—reported improved comprehension and confidence among students. For example, bilingual students who were allowed to discuss concepts in their mother tongue before expressing them in the target language demonstrated greater depth of understanding. The data also indicated that students felt a stronger sense of belonging in culturally sustaining classrooms compared to traditional monolingual environments. Interview responses highlighted that students associated language learning not merely with academic achievement but with self-affirmation and pride in their heritage. Teachers reported that such classrooms encouraged dialogue, critical reflection, and empathy across cultural lines. The findings align with sociocultural learning theories that emphasize knowledge as co-constructed through social interaction within cultural contexts. Quantitative patterns further supported these insights: classrooms implementing culturally sustaining practices exhibited higher attendance, fewer behavioral issues, and improved performance in oral communication tasks. However, challenges also surfaced. Teachers often faced institutional resistance, particularly from curriculum frameworks that prioritized standardized language norms. Some educators struggled to balance the dual goals of maintaining cultural authenticity and meeting assessment requirements. Nevertheless, the overall interpretation of the data affirms that culturally sustaining pedagogy nurtures both linguistic proficiency and cultural resilience. It allows language learning to transcend mechanical skill acquisition and evolve into a process of identity formation and social empowerment, positioning learners as active agents in their linguistic journeys.

Findings and Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the transformative potential of culturally sustaining pedagogy in language education. One of the most significant outcomes is the redefinition of language learning as a culturally embedded process rather than an isolated academic endeavor. The evidence suggests that CSP bridges the gap between classroom learning and community life, allowing students to draw on their lived experiences as legitimate sources of knowledge. This transformation reorients the teacher's role from an authority figure to a co-learner and facilitator of cultural dialogue. The study also reveals that culturally sustaining practices contribute to cognitive development through contextualized learning. When lessons incorporate familiar cultural references, idioms, or traditions, students demonstrate higher retention and analytical thinking. The discussion also highlights the importance of language as a means of cultural reproduction. In multilingual societies, sustaining indigenous and minority languages is not merely a pedagogical issue but a matter of cultural survival. By validating these languages, CSP challenges colonial legacies that have historically devalued non-dominant linguistic forms. Furthermore, the findings emphasize the intersectionality of language, identity, and power. Students in culturally sustaining environments develop critical awareness of linguistic hierarchies and gain confidence in asserting their voices. Teachers, in turn, become reflective practitioners who recognize their own positionality and biases. The study also indicates that successful implementation of CSP requires policy support, continuous professional development, and community engagement. Without structural commitment, the philosophy risks being confined to individual classrooms. The discussion thus argues that CSP should inform broader educational reforms, including curriculum design, teacher training, and assessment systems. In the globalized context of increasing migration and linguistic diversity,

culturally sustaining pedagogy offers a framework for equitable education that values every student's heritage as an asset to learning rather than an obstacle to overcome.

Challenges and Recommendations

Despite its numerous benefits, the adoption of culturally sustaining pedagogy in language learning faces several challenges. One major obstacle lies in institutional inertia and policy rigidity. Educational systems still operate under monolingual and standardized testing frameworks that prioritize dominant languages and exclude indigenous or minority linguistic practices. Teachers often encounter pressure to conform to these standards, leaving little room for experimentation with culturally sustaining methods. Another challenge is the lack of adequate teacher preparation. Many educators are not trained to integrate cultural knowledge into pedagogy or to manage multilingual classrooms effectively. There is also a risk of superficial multiculturalism, where culture is reduced to festivals or food days rather than being embedded in the curriculum's core. Furthermore, societal attitudes towards linguistic diversity can hinder progress. Parents and administrators may perceive home languages as obstacles to academic success, reinforcing the stigma attached to non-dominant linguistic identities. To address these issues, several recommendations emerge from this study. Teacher education programs must prioritize cultural competence and equip educators with the theoretical and practical tools for implementing CSP. Policymakers should design flexible curricular frameworks that recognize multiple pathways to linguistic achievement. Assessment systems need to evolve to value communicative competence and intercultural understanding alongside grammatical precision. Collaboration between schools and communities should be institutionalized to ensure that educational practices reflect authentic cultural contexts. Governments and NGOs can support initiatives that document and promote endangered languages, aligning educational goals with cultural preservation. Technology can also play a crucial role by providing digital platforms for multilingual learning and global cultural exchange. Ultimately, sustaining cultures through pedagogy requires a collective shift in mindset—from assimilation to affirmation, from hierarchy to equality, and from uniformity to diversity. Only through such transformation can education truly serve its democratic and emancipatory purpose.

Conclusion

Culturally sustaining pedagogy represents a paradigm shift in the philosophy and practice of language education. It moves beyond traditional frameworks that view language learning as the acquisition of a uniform standard and instead positions it as a dynamic process rooted in identity, history, and community. This study concludes that CSP not only enhances linguistic proficiency but also strengthens cultural confidence and social cohesion among learners. The data reveal that when educational environments honor students' linguistic repertoires and cultural heritages, learning becomes more meaningful and empowering. Teachers who embrace CSP create classrooms that function as spaces of inclusion, creativity, and critical consciousness. However, realizing the full potential of this pedagogy demands systemic change. Educational institutions must move away from monolithic language policies and embrace pluralistic approaches that reflect contemporary realities. Professional development, community partnerships, and curriculum reforms are essential to sustaining the momentum of culturally responsive transformation. The broader implication of CSP extends beyond language learning—it challenges the very definition of education by proposing that cultural sustainability is as vital as academic achievement. In a world marked by globalization, migration, and technological connectivity, maintaining linguistic and cultural diversity becomes a moral imperative.

Education must thus evolve from being a tool of conformity to a medium of liberation that empowers every learner to navigate multiple identities with pride and agency. Culturally sustaining pedagogy, when authentically practiced, ensures that schools become not sites of assimilation but spaces of cultural flourishing where every language and every learner matter. Culturally sustaining pedagogy represents a profound shift in the way education approaches language learning, identity, and diversity. It positions language not merely as a communicative tool but as an essential expression of cultural identity and human experience. Through this study, it becomes clear that culturally sustaining pedagogy transforms the classroom into an inclusive and participatory space where learners' linguistic and cultural resources are valued as assets rather than deficits. By affirming the legitimacy of diverse languages and cultural narratives, this approach nurtures students' self-esteem and belonging, which in turn enhance academic performance and lifelong learning motivation. Teachers who adopt this pedagogy act as cultural mediators, bridging classroom instruction with students' lived realities and community knowledge. The results also reveal that culturally sustaining pedagogy promotes critical awareness among learners, helping them to recognize and challenge linguistic hierarchies and social inequities. Moreover, it encourages collaborative learning, empathy, and intercultural dialogue—skills essential in an increasingly globalized world. However, for this pedagogy to thrive, systemic support is necessary. Educational policies must evolve to integrate linguistic pluralism into curriculum design, assessment, and teacher preparation programs. The goal is not merely to accommodate diversity but to sustain it as a living, evolving force within education. As societies become more interconnected yet culturally complex, culturally sustaining pedagogy offers a sustainable model for nurturing multilingual competence, cultural empathy, and democratic participation. In essence, it redefines education as an act of cultural preservation and transformation—one that ensures every language, every story, and every learner continues to matter in the collective pursuit of knowledge and justice.

References

- Paris, D. (2012). Culturally Sustaining Pedagogy: A Needed Change in Stance, Terminology, and Practice. Educational Researcher, 41(3), 93–97.
- Alim, H. S., & Paris, D. (2017). What Is Culturally Sustaining Pedagogy and Why Does It Matter? In *Culturally Sustaining Pedagogies* (pp. 1–22). Teachers College Press.
- Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a Theory of Culturally Relevant Pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(3), 465–491.
- Gay, G. (2010). *Culturally Responsive Teaching: Theory, Research, and Practice*. Teachers College Press.
- García, O., & Wei, L. (2014). *Translanguaging: Language, Bilingualism and Education*. Palgrave Macmillan.
- Freire, P. (1970). *Pedagogy of the Oppressed*. Continuum.
- Cummins, J. (2019). Language, Power, and Pedagogy: Bilingual Children in the Crossfire. *Multilingual Matters*.
- Nieto, S. (2018). The Light in Their Eyes: Creating Multicultural Learning Communities. *Teachers College Press*.
- Moll, L., Amanti, C., Neff, D., & González, N. (1992). Funds of Knowledge for Teaching. *Theory into Practice*, 31(2), 132–141.
- Wenger, E. (1998). *Communities of Practice: Learning, Meaning, and Identity*. Cambridge University Press.
- Kramsch, C. (2021). Culture in Second Language Teaching. *Language Teaching*, 54(4), 523–537.
- Hornberger, N. (2017). Honoring Multilingualism in Education. *Bilingual Research Journal*, 40(1), 5–22.
- Canagarajah, S. (2020). Translingual Practice and Global Englishes. *Routledge*.

- García, O., Johnson, S., & Seltzer, K. (2017). *The Translanguaging Classroom: Leveraging Student Bilingualism for Learning*. Caslon.
- Flores, N., & Rosa, J. (2015). Undoing Appropriateness: Raciolinguistic Ideologies and Language Diversity in Education. *Harvard Educational Review*, 85(2), 149–171.
- Blackledge, A., & Creese, A. (2017). Translanguaging and the Body. *International Journal of Multilingualism*, 14(3), 250–268.
- Wei, L. (2018). Translanguaging as a Practical Theory of Language. *Applied Linguistics*, 39(1), 9–30.
- Pennycook, A. (2018). Posthumanist Applied Linguistics. *Routledge*.
- Kumaravadivelu, B. (2012). Language Teacher Education for a Global Society. *Routledge*.
- Luke, A. (2018). Critical Literacy, Schooling, and Social Justice. *Routledge*.
- Leung, C., & Street, B. (2014). The Pedagogy of Literacy. *Routledge*.
- May, S. (2019). Multilingual Education in the Twenty-First Century. *Oxford University Press*.
- Janks, H. (2019). Critical Literacy and Culturally Sustaining Pedagogy. *Educational Review*, 71(5), 580–594.
- García, O., & Lin, A. M. Y. (2017). Translanguaging in Bilingual Education. *Springer*.
- Shohamy, E. (2018). The Power of Tests: A Critical Perspective on the Uses of Language Tests. *Routledge*.
- Canagarajah, S. (2021). Culturally Sustaining Practices in Academic Writing. *Journal of Second Language Writing*, 51, 100794.